

## REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending after the Response. Of those, Claims 1 and 9 are independent. Claims 2-8 depend, directly or indirectly, from Claim 1. Claims 10-12 depend, directly or indirectly, from Claim 9. In this Response, Applicants amend Claims 1, 9, 10 and 11. The claim amendments do not add any new matter.

### Claim Objections

The Final Office Action objects to duplicate Claim 13. Duplicate Claim 13 was listed in the Response as a result of a typographical error. The section *Amendments to Claims* in this RCE identifies Claim 13 as cancelled. Applicants therefore request that the objection raised in the Final Office Action be withdrawn.

### Rejection of Claims 1-5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in light of *Frieze*

Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in light of *Frieze*. Anticipation requires the cited reference to teach each and every element of the claim. *See* MPEP 2131.01. Applicants amend Claim 1. Support for the amendments is found throughout the application, as filed, for example, on page 9, lines 9-15 and 21-25. Applicants assert that *Frieze* does not teach at least one element recited in Claims 1-5 and 8, as currently amended, namely, the at least one second portion forming at least one side of the generally dome-shaped surface, not forming a surface of rotation about the rotational axis, located generally more closely to the rotational axis than the first portion, and reducing a dimension of the generally dome-shaped surface in at least one dimension transverse to the rotational axis of the generally dome shape. At least in view of the foregoing, *Frieze* fails to anticipate Claims 1-5 and 8. Applicants respectfully assert that the claim amendments overcome the rejection based on *Frieze* and request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.